	Case 1:21-cv-00928-JLT-BAM Documer	nt 37 Filed 06/13/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	PETER MICHAEL ARENA,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00928-JLT-BAM (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER VACATING FINDINGS AND
12	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DISMISSAL OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO
13	CERVANTES, et al.,	PROSECUTE (ECF No. 35)
14	Defendants.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO RE-SERVE ORDERS ON PLAINTIFF
15		(ECF Nos. 32, 33)
16		
17	Plaintiff Peter Michael Arena ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
18	forma pauperis in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds against	
19	Defendants Cervantes, Berlanga, Cerda-Jirano, Moreno, and Ceja for excessive force in violation	
20	of the Eighth Amendment.	
21	On March 1, 2022, the undersigned issued an order granting Defendants' request to opt	
22	out of post-settlement ADR and a discovery and scheduling order. (ECF Nos. 32, 33.) On March	
23	15, 2022, those orders were returned as "Undeliverable, Not Deliverable as Addressed."	
24	Following Plaintiff's failure to file a notice of change of address, on June 1, 2022, the	
25	undersigned issued findings and recommendations that this action be dismissed, without	
26	prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to prosecute. (ECF No. 35.)	
27	On June 10, 2022, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF	
28	No. 36.) Plaintiff states that he has not changed addresses or left his current institution at all	
	1	

## Case 1:21-cv-00928-JLT-BAM Document 37 Filed 06/13/22 Page 2 of 2

1 during the alleged time frame, though he did not receive the Court's orders that were returned, 2 and was never informed that mail had been sent from the Court. Plaintiff also requests another 3 settlement conference or a trial. (*Id.*) 4 As it appears Plaintiff's address has not changed—given that he received the Court's 5 findings and recommendations at the same address—the Court finds it appropriate to vacate the 6 findings and recommendations and re-serve the returned orders. 7 At this time, the Court will not set this case for another settlement conference. Without a 8 clear indication from all parties to the action that they are willing to discuss settlement, the Court 9 does not find that it would be an efficient use of judicial resources to set this case for a settlement 10 conference. The parties are reminded that they are free to settle this matter without judicial 11 involvement at any time by communicating among themselves. If in the future the parties jointly 12 decide that this action would benefit from a Court-facilitated settlement conference, they may so 13 inform the Court. 14 Based on the foregoing IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 15 1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 1, 2022, (ECF No. 35), are 16 VACATED; 17 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-serve the Court's March 1, 2022 order granting 18 Defendants' request to opt out of post-settlement ADR, (ECF No. 32), and March 1, 2022 19 discovery and scheduling order, (ECF No. 33), on Plaintiff at his current address of 20 record; and 21 3. This action will proceed according to the deadlines set in the March 1, 2022 discovery and 22 scheduling order. IT IS SO ORDERED. 24

23

25

Dated: **June 13, 2022** 

26

27

28